

in a five-factor test: “(1) a concrete showing of a prima facie claim of actionable harm; (2) specificity of the discovery request; (3) the absence of alternative means to obtain the subpoenaed information; (4) a central need for the subpoenaed information to advance the claim; and (5) the party’s expectation of privacy.” Id. (citing Sony Music Enter. v. Does 1-40, 326 F. Supp. 2d 556, 564-65 (S.D.N.Y. 2004)). Here, the Court finds that each of the five factors is satisfied in this case, warranting issuance of the Rule 45 subpoena.¹

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Plaintiff’s “Ex Parte Motion For Leave To Serve A Third-Party Subpoena Prior To A Rule 26(f) Conference” (Document No. 5) is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Strike 3 Holdings, LLC is permitted limited discovery to serve a subpoena under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 to Spectrum (hereinafter the “ISP”) to determine the name and address of the Doe defendant to whom the ISP assigned the IP address 172.72.216.8. Plaintiff shall attach to the subpoena a copy of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the ISP qualifies as a “cable operator,” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 522(5), which states:

the term “cable operator” means any person or group of persons

(A) who provides cable service over a cable system and directly or through one or more affiliates owns a significant interest in such cable system, or

(B) who otherwise controls or is responsible for, through any arrangement, the management and operation of such a cable system

it shall comply with 47 U.S.C. § 551(c)(2)(B), which states:

A cable operator may disclose such [personal identifying] information if the disclosure is . . . made pursuant to a court order authorizing such disclosure, if the subscriber is notified of such order by the person to whom the order is directed...

¹ The undersigned finds that future motions of a similar nature may be denied if counsel does not make a more substantive showing that the five-factor standard is satisfied. In this instance, the undersigned will grant the motion.

by sending a copy of this Order to the Defendant.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any information disclosed to Plaintiff in response to a subpoena may be used solely for the purposes of protecting and enforcing Plaintiff's rights as set forth in its Complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the ISP shall preserve any subpoenaed information pending the resolution of any timely filed motion to quash.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: July 26, 2023

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'DCK', is written over a horizontal line.

David C. Keesler
United States Magistrate Judge



SEALED DOCUMENT with access to Specified Parties/Plaintiff.